

[News](#)

[Opinion](#)

[Vatican](#)

[NCR Voices](#)



Pope Emeritus Benedict XVI sits in St. Peter's Basilica on Dec. 8, 2015. A long-awaited report on sexual abuse faulted his handling of four cases. (AP/Gregorio Borgia)



Thomas Reese

[View Author Profile](#)

Follow on Twitter at [@thomasreeseSJ](#)

Religion News Service

[View Author Profile](#)

Join the Conversation

Send your thoughts to *Letters to the Editor*. [Learn more](#)

February 1, 2022

[Share on Facebook](#)[Share on Twitter](#)[Email to a friend](#)[Print](#)

Too many Catholic prelates believe that, when it comes to clergy sexual abuse, being in charge means never having to say you're sorry.

For as long as the crisis has been going on, lawyers for bishops have advised many of them not to apologize, as this would be an admission of guilt that would come back as evidence when they were sued in court.

Some were too arrogant and cowardly to admit guilt.

Others refused to apologize because they believe they are blameless since they made decisions based on the advice they got from psychologists and canon lawyers who themselves were ignorant. Some even foolishly thought that admitting responsibility would somehow harm the church.

Advertisement

But whatever the case, they clearly failed when they put the themselves, their priests or the institution ahead of the lives of children. How badly they sinned, I will leave to God, but there is no doubt that they need to apologize. They were either immoral or stupid — or both. In any case, an apology is required.

All of these evasions are contrary to Catholic teaching, which affirms that we are all sinners who are required to confess our sins, do penance, make reparations and amend our lives.

Retired Pope Benedict XVI, aka Joseph Ratzinger, is a case in point. When he was archbishop of Munich, abusive priests were not dealt with properly. How much he

was involved in these decisions is irrelevant. He was the archbishop and the buck stops with him. Even if others made the decisions, he still appointed these people and delegated to them the authority to deal with abusive priests.

Those who defend Ratzinger argue that, thanks to the professional advice I cited above, practically all the bishops got it wrong prior to 1982, the year Ratzinger left Munich. True, but all this shows is that he was no better than the other bishops — not a high standard. And everyone else's failures do not excuse him from confessing his own failures.



With the towers of Munich's cathedral in the background, Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, later Pope Benedict XVI, bids farewell to the Bavarian believers on Feb. 28, 1982, in downtown Munich, Germany. (AP file/Dieter Endlicher)

Defenders also point to his actions as prefect of the Vatican Congregation for Doctrine of the Faith, the job he took up at the behest of Pope John Paul II on leaving Munich, and where he served until 2005. As prefect he did more than any other Vatican cardinal to respond to the abuse crisis. He continued improving the church's

response when he became pope, including expelling hundreds of bad priests.

This is certainly true, but any confessor will tell you that doing better today does not absolve you from confessing past sins.

When prelates get around to their apologies, they should be full throated. No one wants to hear that "mistakes were made" or "we would not act in the same way today" or "I am sorry people are upset" or "I am sorry for anything I MAY have done wrong."

Prelates should know how to confess; after all, they do it every day at the beginning of Mass. These are the words people want to hear from Benedict, Francis and other church leaders who did not handle abusive priests well:

"I confess to almighty God and to you, my brothers and sisters, that I have greatly sinned, in my thoughts and in my words, in what I have done and in what I have failed to do, through my fault, through my fault, through my most grievous fault."

Prelates should know how to confess; after all, they do it every day at the beginning of Mass.

[Tweet this](#)

Prelates need to confess that they have greatly sinned in their words (denying the abuse and bullying victims into silence), in what they have done (moved abusive priests from one parish to another) and in what they have failed to do (protect children). They need to acknowledge that it is their fault, their fault, their most grievous fault.

Apologies should come from the Catholic liturgy, not be written by lawyers. When it comes to sin, in Catholic liturgy, there is no beating about the bush.

Prelates should also avoid asking for forgiveness, which puts a burden on victims. It may even revictimize those who are not ready to forgive. Whether they forgive or not is between them and God, not between them and the bishops who offended them.

"But we have apologized," some bishops will say, "can't we move on?"

No. One apology will not suffice. If a man cheats on his wife, one apology will not fix it. If he wants to stay married, he will have to apologize in one way or another for the rest of his life.

Apologies should come from the Catholic liturgy, not be written by lawyers. When it comes to sin, in Catholic liturgy, there is no beating about the bush.

[Tweet this](#)

Besides apologizing, Benedict could express willingness to meet with any victim of abuse from his former archdiocese. In such encounters, he can listen to their stories and apologize directly. This can help in the healing of survivors.

Some have called for Benedict to do penance and give up his "pope emeritus" title.

On the other hand, I would love to see some bishops spend Lent living on bread and water, camped out on the steps of their cathedrals. There was a time when public sinners were required to do such penance, only to be readmitted to the community at Easter. The bishop could live like a homeless person but at the same time provide pastoral care to anyone, especially survivors of abuse, who came to visit him.

Even a bishop not involved in the cover-up could take on such a penance on behalf of his predecessors and the diocese.

With regard to Benedict's title, I have argued that retired popes should not be called pope emeritus in any case. If a pope resigns, he should stop wearing white and return to his baptismal name.

[Read this next: Editorial: In penance for mishandled abuse cases, Benedict needs to give up 'pope emeritus'](#)

Thus, Benedict, after he retired, should have become Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, bishop emeritus of Rome. When he dies, he can be buried as a pope with all the liturgical pomp and ceremony befitting a pope, acknowledging his status and actions as pontiff.

There is no room for two popes in the Catholic Church. I hope that when Benedict dies, church law will change to reflect this. I hope that if Francis resigns, he will put

aside the white cassock and return to his baptismal name. When his successor is elected, he should kiss his hand and swear allegiance to him like every other cardinal.

Hollywood may believe that love means never having to say you're sorry; but Catholic theology teaches that love means always saying you're sorry. As Francis said, the words people should repeat more often are: "Thank you. I love you. I am sorry."

We need to hear "I am sorry" from Benedict and every other prelate in the Catholic Church. And it needs to be said repeatedly.